

HARDY-SOBOLEV TYPE EQUATIONS

FOR p -LAPLACIAN, $1 < p < 2$

IN BOUNDED DOMAIN

M. Bhakta and A. Biswas

TIFR Centre for Applicable Mathematics, Post Bag No. 6503

Sharadanagar, Chikkabommasandra, Bangalore 560 065.

E-mail: *mousomi@math.tifrbng.res.in*, *anup@math.tifrbng.res.in*

Abstract

We study quasilinear degenerate singular elliptic equation of type $-\Delta_p u = \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1}}{|y|^t}$ in a smooth bounded domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$, $x = (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$, $2 \leq k < N$ and $N \geq 3$, $1 < p < 2$, $0 \leq s \leq p$, $0 \leq t \leq s$ and $p^*(s) = \frac{p(n-s)}{n-p}$. We study existence of solution for $t < s$, non-existence in a star-shaped domain for $t = s$ and $s < k(\frac{p-1}{p})$. We also show that solution is in $C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$ provided $t < \frac{k}{N}(\frac{p-1}{p})$. The regularity of solution can be improved to the class $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ when $t < k(\frac{p-1}{p})$. We also study some property of the singular sets in a cylindrically symmetric domain using the method of symmetry.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J60, 35J70, 35J75, 35B09, 35B65.

1 Introduction

In this article we study degenerate quasilinear singular elliptic equation of the type

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1}}{|y|^t} && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &\geq 0 && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &\in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

where Δ_p denotes the p -laplacian operator, $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ and Ω is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$, $x = (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$, $2 \leq k < N$ and $N \geq 3$, $1 < p < 2$, $0 \leq s < p$, $0 \leq t \leq s$ and $p^*(s) = \frac{p(N-s)}{N-p}$.

By a non-trivial solution of (1.1) we mean $0 \neq u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)-1}}{|y|^t} v \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Equivalently, u is a critical point of the functional I given by

$$I(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p - \frac{1}{p^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^t}, \quad u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

I is a well defined C^1 functional on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for any open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ due to the following Hardy-Sobolev-Inequality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^s} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{N-s}{N-p}} \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where C is a constant depending on s, p, N, k (see [2], [11]). Clearly, the limiting case $s = 0$ corresponds to classical Sobolev inequality and for $s = p$ this inequality still holds which is known as Hardy's Inequality. It can be easily checked that if Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ then for $t \leq s$

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^t} \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{N-s}{N-p}} \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega). \quad (1.2)$$

When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, $t = s$, the existence of critical points of I has been studied in [2]. In a limiting case $p = 2$, existence and classification of solutions are exclusively studied in [6], [10]. In this set up, uniqueness of solution in $D_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ has been studied in [5]. When $p = 2$ and Ω bounded, (1.1) with $t = s$ has been studied in [3]. But in case of bounded domain we can not say the solution exists in general because when $t = s$, (1.1) turns out to be with critical exponent. For this case we prove the non-existence result in Theorem 4.1 but the difficulties here is that the solution is not regular enough to justify the calculations of usual Pohozaev type identity. We can get u in $C^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{y = 0\})$ due to the singularity of equation at $\{y = 0\}$. Therefore we study $W^{2,p}$ regularity properties of solution in Section 3 and extend the same regularity up to the boundary if $\partial\Omega$ is orthogonal to $\{y = 0\}$ (see Section 3 for definition) (for $t < k(\frac{p-1}{p})$) which helps us to prove the non existence result in star-shaped domain in section 4.

In section 5, we study symmetry properties of solution and its relation with the set $\{Du = 0\}$. A domain Ω is said to be cylindrically symmetric about $x_0 = (y_0, z_0) \in \Omega$ if the following two conditions hold:

- Ω is symmetric in $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$ about the point $(y_0, z) \in \Omega$ for any arbitrarily fixed z .
- Ω is symmetric in $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$ about the point $(y, z_0) \in \Omega$ for any arbitrarily fixed y .

We say a function u is symmetric in variable y if there exists $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that

- for any choice of $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$, $u(\cdot, z)$ is symmetric non-increasing about (y_0, z) in $\mathbb{R}^k$

and symmetric in variable z if there exists $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$ such that

- for any choice of $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $u(y, \cdot)$ is symmetric non-increasing about (y, z_0) in $\mathbb{R}^{N-k}$.

We say u is cylindrically symmetric about (y_0, z_0) if the two conditions above hold. In the case $p = 2$, several results have been obtained starting with the famous paper [13] by Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg. Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, which is convex and symmetric in the x_1 direction and consider the problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u &> 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

In this paper they used moving plane method to prove (among other results) that if $p = 2$, every classical solution to (1.3) is symmetric with respect to the hyperplane $T_0 = \{x = (x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_1 = 0\}$ and strictly increasing in x_1 for $x_1 < 0$, provided Ω is smooth and f is Lipschitz continuous. As a corollary if Ω is a ball, $s = 0 = t$, then u is radially symmetric and strictly radially decreasing. One of the several reason that the paper had a big impact was it brought to attention the moving plane method which since then has been largely used in many different problems. This method was

essentially based on maximum principle which was first used by Serrin [16]. For $p = 2$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, cylindrical symmetry of solution of (1.1) was shown in [10] (see also [12]). The difficulties in extending the result for the case $p \neq 2$ is to overcome the hurdle of extending the properties of solutions of strictly elliptic equation to solutions of p -laplacian equation. In particular, comparison principles used for strictly elliptic operators are not available for degenerate operator considered.

A first step towards extending the moving plane method to the solutions of problem involving p -laplacian operator has been done in [7]. Later for $1 < p < 2$, $N \geq 2$, if $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ solves (1.3) where f is lipschitz continuous, symmetry of solution using moving plane method is done in [8].

But none of those methods are applicable for our set up as we have both degeneracy and partial singularity in f . The degeneracy does not allow us to use the maximum principle for strictly elliptic operators and singularity in $\{y = 0\}$ does not allow us to use the moving plane method as in [8] (Without much effort one can apply the method in [8] if the partial singularity $\{y = 0\}$ is replaced by singularity at $\{x = 0\}$). As we mentioned earlier, the key ingredient in the method of moving plane is weak comparison principle. In the presence of one point singularity $\{x = 0\}$ one can modify the weak comparison principle in [8] to get a suitable comparison principle but in the presence of partial singularity it seems difficult to get a modified version. However we can get a weaker comparison principle (see Theorem 5.6). Therefore getting the cylindrical symmetry of u satisfying (1.1) in cylindrically symmetric domain is quite challenging. However, one can study some properties of the set of degeneracy $Z = \{x \in \Omega : Du = 0\}$ in a cylindrically symmetric domain. Connection of Z with the symmetry has also been studied in [7]. Let $\mathcal{H}^k$ denote the k -dimensional Hausdroff measure. Then it is easy to observe that

$$u \text{ is symmetric in variable } y \Rightarrow \text{either } Z \subset \{y = 0\} \text{ or } \mathcal{H}^{k-1}(Z) > 0.$$

In section 5, we show the following is true

$$\mathcal{H}^{k-1}(Z) = 0 \Rightarrow u \text{ is symmetric in variable } y \text{ and } Z \subset \{y = 0\}.$$

In other words, we show that in a cylindrically symmetric domain the set of

degeneracy Z of u satisfying (1.1) can not be "small" (in measure theoretic sense) unless $Z = \{0\}$.

2 Existence of non trivial solutions in subcritical case

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a non trivial solution of the equation (1.1) when $t < s$. Moreover if $t < \frac{kp}{N}$ and Ω is connected then the non trivial solution is strictly positive in Ω .*

Proof. Let us define,

$$I : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{by}$$

$$I(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p - \frac{1}{p^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^t}$$

First we check that I satisfy all the conditions of Mountain Pass Theorem (see [1]). I is well defined C^1 functional on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ due to (1.2).

Clearly (i) $I(0) = 0$ is satisfied.

(ii) Let us take $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ s.t. $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} = r$, where r will be chosen later. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^t} \leq \int_{\{|y| \leq 1\} \cap \Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^s} + \int_{\{|y| > 1\} \cap \Omega} |u|^{p^*(s)} \leq C \|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p^*(s)}$$

Therefore

$$I(u) \geq \frac{r^p}{p} - C \frac{r^{p^*(s)}}{p^*(s)}.$$

Since $p < p^*(s)$, we have $I(u) > 0$ for $r > 0$ small enough.

(iii) Let us fix $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ s.t $u \not\equiv 0$. Now for $\bar{t} > 0$

$$I(\bar{t}u) = \frac{\bar{t}^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p - \frac{\bar{t}^{p^*(s)}}{p^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^t}$$

So, for $\bar{t}$ to be large enough we have $I(\bar{t}u) < 0$.

(iv) Suppose $\{u_n\} \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ s.t. $u_n \geq 0$ and

$$\sup_n I(u_n) \leq C, \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } W^{-1,p'}(\Omega).$$

Claim: $\{u_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

To prove the claim first we note that u_n is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (by standard argument). More preciously, since $\langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle = o(1)\|u_n\|$, computing $I(u_n) - \frac{1}{p^*(s)}\langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle$, we get $\|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \leq M + o(1)\|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)}$ and hence boundedness follows. Therefore passing to a subsequence we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^q(\Omega)$ for $q < p^*$.

For $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ we have

$$o(1) = \langle I'(u_n), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla v - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s)-2} u_n v}{|y|^t} \quad (2.4)$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using Vitali's Theorem we have

$$\frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s)-2} u_n}{|y|^t} \rightarrow \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)-2} u}{|y|^t} \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega)$$

and therefore from Boccardo-Murat (see [4]) we get

$$\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Hence RHS of 3.14 converges to $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)-2} u v}{|y|^t} = \langle I'(u), v \rangle$. Therefore we have $I'(u) = 0$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle I'(u_n) - I'(u), u_n - u \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \nabla (u_n - u) - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s)-2} u_n - |u|^{p^*(s)-2} u}{|y|^t} (u_n - u) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore using the inequality $\langle |a|^{p-2} a - |b|^{p-2} b, a - b \rangle \geq C(|a| + |b|)^{p-2} |a - b|^2$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n| + |\nabla u|)^{p-2} |\nabla (u_n - u)|^2 \leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s)-2} u_n - |u|^{p^*(s)-2} u}{|y|^t} (u_n - u) + o(1) \quad (2.5)$$

We already know that $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in Ω and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s)-1} |u|}{|y|^t} &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^t} \right)^{\frac{p^*(s)-1}{p^*(s)}} \left(\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^t} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*(s)}} \\ \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)-1} |u_n|}{|y|^t} &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^t} \right)^{\frac{p^*(s)-1}{p^*(s)}} \left(\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^t} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*(s)}}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$. Therefore using (1.2) and Vitali's Theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s)-2} u_n u}{|y|^t} &\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^t} \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)-2} u u_n}{|y|^t} &\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^t}. \end{aligned}$$

Again from (H-S) inequality we have

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^q}{|y|^t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \forall q \leq p^*(t) \text{ and } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Therefore the map $f : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ defined by

$$f(u) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{u}{|y|^{\frac{t}{q}}}$$

is continuous for $q \leq p^*(t)$ and compact for $q < p^*(t)$. Since $t < s$, we have $p^*(t) > p^*(s)$ and hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^t} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^t}.$$

Therefore from (2.5) we have $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Since $p < 2$, we have $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

We already have, $\inf_{\|u\|=r} I(u) = b$ for some $b > 0$. Now applying Mountain Pass Theorem we get β is a critical value of I , where

$$\beta = \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I(g(t)) \geq b > 0,$$

which implies $\beta > 0$ and $\exists u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ s.t. $I(u) = \beta, I'(u) = 0$. Now $I(u) > 0$ implies $u \not\equiv 0$. Since $I(u) = I(|u|)$, we can get $|u|$ as a critical point of I as well and this proves existence of non trivial solution to (1.1). In fact, $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ as we would prove in the next section. If $t < \frac{kp}{N}$, then the solution u of (1.1) is Hölder continuous, using Theorem 7.3.1 in [17]. Since Ω is connected, using the strong maximum principle (Theorem 2.2 in [7]) we have the non-trivial solution $u > 0$ in Ω . $\square$

3 Regularity of solution

We have already seen existence of non-trivial solution in case of $t < s$, but when $t = s$ solution of (1.1) may not exist in all bounded domain in general as we will see in the next section. But whenever the solution exists we can have interior regularity as well. To show $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}$ regularity we'll use the following two theorems:

Theorem 3.1. *Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $1 < p < N$, $0 \leq s \leq p$, $s < k$ and $s(N - k) < k(N - p)$. Let $u \in D^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a non-negative weak solution of the inequality*

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \leq V \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|y|^s} \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

- (a) *If $V \in L^{r'}(\Omega)$, $r' = \frac{p^*}{p^*(s)-p}$, then $u \in L^q(\frac{dx}{|y|^t})$ for any $0 \leq t < \min\{p, s\}$ and $q \geq p^*(s)$. In particular $u \in L^q(\Omega)$ for every $p^* \leq q < \infty$.*
- (b) *If $V \in L^{t_0}(\Omega) \cap L^{r'}(\Omega)$ for some $t_0 > r'$, then $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.*

For the proof we refer the reader to Theorem 2.1 in [18].

Theorem 3.2. *Let $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of*

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = \varphi, \quad p > 1; \quad \varphi \in L_{loc}^q(\Omega) \text{ for some } q > \frac{Np}{p-1}$$

then $u \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$.

For the proof of above theorem we refer [9].

Definition 3.1. *Let Ω is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ with smooth boundary. We say that $\partial\Omega$ is orthogonal to the singular set if for every $(0, z_0) \in \partial\Omega$ the normal at $(0, z_0)$ is in $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$*

Theorem 3.3. *If u is a solution of Equation (1.1) in Ω with $\partial\Omega$ is orthogonal to the singular set, then $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{y = 0\})$ and for $t < \frac{k}{N}(\frac{p-1}{p})$, $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$.*

Proof. From Equation (1.1) we have

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = V \frac{u^{p-1}}{|y|^t}$$

where $V = u^{p^*(s)-p}$. Since we have $t \leq p < 2 \leq k$, so $t < k \frac{N-p}{N-k}$. Therefore to claim $u \in L^q(\Omega) \quad \forall \quad p^* \leq q < \infty$, we need to check $V \in L^r(\Omega)$ where $r = \frac{p^*}{p^*(t)-p}$ [using Theorem 3.1]. Now, $t \leq s \Rightarrow \frac{p^*}{p^*(t)-p} \leq \frac{p^*}{p^*(s)-p}$. Clearly $V \in L^{\frac{p^*}{p^*(s)-p}}(\Omega)$, therefore $V \in L^r(\Omega)$. Therefore $u \in L^q(\Omega) \quad \forall \quad p^* \leq q < \infty$.

Now let us choose $t_0 > 0$ s.t. $(p^*(s) - p)t_0 > p^*$. Therefore $V \in L^{t_0}(\Omega)$ with $t_0 > r$ and hence $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ using Theorem 3.1.

We note that for any domain $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ such that $\bar{\Omega}_1 \cap \{y = 0\} = \emptyset$, $-\Delta_p u \in L^\infty(\Omega_1)$ as $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. So we can apply Theorem 3.2 in Ω_1 and therefore $u \in C^1(\Omega_1)$. For the boundary points away from $\{y = 0\}$, again we have the right hand side of (1.1) in L^∞ and hence we can use the $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimate by Lieberman [15] in a half ball to conclude that u is C^1 at those points. This completes the proof of the first part.

If $t < \frac{k}{N}(\frac{p-1}{p})$, we can choose $q > 0$ s.t. $\frac{Np}{p-1} < q < \frac{k}{t}$. Since $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ we have right hand side of Equation (1.1) in $L^q(\Omega)$. Hence using Theorem 3.2 we have $u \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$. If $x \in \partial\Omega \setminus \{y = 0\}$, we can have a ball $B(x, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\{y = 0\} \cap (B(x, r) \cap \Omega) = \emptyset$. Therefore $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity around x follows from Lieberman (cf. [15]).

Therefore it remains to prove the boundary regularity at $\partial\Omega \cap \{y = 0\}$. Since $\partial\Omega$ is orthogonal to the singular set, normal at x_0 is in $0 \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$. We may assume normal at $(0, z_0)$ is $(0, \dots, 1)$ (rotating in the z variable if needed). Now at x_0 we use a local reection method as in [14]. Set $B_r(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - a| < r\}$. For $x_0 \in \partial\Omega \cap \{y = 0\}$, there exists $R > 0$ and a smooth function f such that $B_R(x_0) \cap \Omega = \{(y, z) : z_{N-k} > f(y, z_1, \dots, z_{N-k-1})\}$. Let us atten the boundary near x_0 . Therefore we can have smooth diffeomorphism of the form $\eta(y, z) = (y, Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-k-1}, Z_{N-k} - f(y, Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-k-1}))$ where Z denotes the coordinate after rotation.

$$\begin{cases} \eta(B_R(x_0) \cap \Omega) &= B_1(0) \cap \{(y, z_1, \dots, z_{N-k}) : z_{N-k} > 0\} = B_1^+, \\ \eta(B_R(x_0) \setminus \bar{\Omega}) &= B_1(0) \cap \{(y, z_1, \dots, z_{N-k}) : z_{N-k} < 0\} = B_1^-. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Let $R(B_1^+)$ denote the reflection of B_1^+ about $\{X_N = 0\}$ and $\tilde{\Omega} = B_1^+ \cup R(B_1^+) \cup \text{int}(\{X_N = 0\} \cap \partial B_1^+)$. We define $v = u \circ \eta^{-1}$ and $\bar{v}$ by

$$\bar{v}(X_1, \dots, X_N) = \begin{cases} v(X_1, \dots, X_N) & \text{if } X_N > 0, \\ -v(X_1, \dots, -X_N) & \text{if } X_N < 0. \end{cases}$$

As $\bar{v}$ vanishes on $X_N = 0$ a straightforward computation shows that it

satisfies an equation of following type (see Theorem 2.3 in [3])

$$-\operatorname{div} A(x, D\bar{v}) = \frac{\bar{v}^{p^*(s)-1} |\det B(x)^{-1}|}{|y|^t} \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega},$$

where $A(x, \zeta) = |\zeta B(x)|^{p-2} \zeta B(x) B^T(x) |\det B(x)^{-1}|$ and $B(x) = \nabla \eta(x)$ satisfies all the structural and regularity assumptions need to apply the local regularity result of Theorem 2 in [9]. Therefore we have local $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity around x_0 . This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *If u is a weak solution of the equation (1.1) then $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ for $t < \frac{k(p-1)}{p}$ if $\partial\Omega$ is orthogonal to the singular set.*

Proof. First we prove interior regularity for the quasilinear degenerate equation of type

$$-\operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla u) = \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1}}{|y|^t} \quad (3.7)$$

where $A(x, \zeta) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfies the following properties:

$$(A(x, \zeta) - A(x, \zeta'))(\zeta - \zeta') \geq C(1 + |\zeta|^2 + |\zeta'|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\zeta - \zeta'|^2 \quad (3.8)$$

$$|A(x, \zeta)| \leq C_1 |\zeta|^{p-1} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |A_i(x, \zeta) - A_i(y, \zeta)| \leq C_2(1 + |\zeta|)^{p-1} |x - y|. \quad (3.10)$$

where A_i is the i th coordinate of A . Let $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ be a solution of (3.7). Therefore for any $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u(x)) \cdot \nabla v = \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1} v}{|y|^t}. \quad (3.11)$$

Let $R > 0$ be such that $B_{4R} \subset \Omega$ where B_R denotes the ball of radius R around some point in Ω . Let $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}(\xi) \subset B_{2R}$, $\xi = 1$ in B_R , $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$, $|\nabla \xi| \leq \frac{1}{R}$ and $|D^2 \xi| \leq \frac{c}{R^2}$ for some constant c . Now for $h > 0$, we choose

$$v(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_i^{-h}(\xi^2(x) D_i^h u(x)),$$

where

$$D_i^h u(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{u(x + h e_i) - u(x)}{h} \quad \text{where } i = 1, \dots, N,$$

and e_i is the i -th canonical basis vector in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Therefore from (3.11) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} D_i^h(A(x, \nabla u)) \nabla(\xi^2 D_i^h u) = - \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1} D_i^{-h}(\xi^2 D_i^h u)}{|y|^t}. \quad (3.12)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (3.12)} &= \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 \langle D_i^h(A(x, \nabla u)), \nabla(D_i^h u) \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega} \xi(D_i^h u) \langle D_i^h(A(x, \nabla u)), \nabla \xi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (3.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 \langle D_i^h(A(x, \nabla u)), D_i^h(\nabla u) \rangle &= -2 \int_{\Omega} \xi(D_i^h u) \langle D_i^h(A(x, \nabla u)), \nabla \xi \rangle \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1} D_i^{-h}(\xi^2 D_i^h u)}{|y|^t}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Using (3.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (3.13)} &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\xi^2}{h^2} \left[A(x + he_i, \nabla u(x + he_i)) - A(x + he_i, \nabla u(x)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + A(x + he_i, \nabla u(x)) - A(x, \nabla u(x)) \right] (\nabla u(x + he_i) - \nabla u(x)) \\ &\geq C \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 \left[(1 + |\nabla u(x + he_i)|^2 + |\nabla u(x)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D_i^h \nabla u(x)|^2 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. (A(x + he_i, \nabla u(x)) - A(x, \nabla u(x))) \frac{D_i^h(\nabla u(x))}{h} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$Y(x)^2 = 1 + |\nabla u(x)|^2 + |\nabla u(x + he_i)|^2$$

Therefore we have from (3.10) and (3.13)

$$\begin{aligned} C \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 |D_i^h(\nabla u(x))|^2 Y^{p-2} &\leq C_2 \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 (1 + |\nabla u(x)|^2)^{p-1} |D_i^h \nabla u(x)| \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\Omega} \xi(D_i^h u) \langle D_i^h(A(x, \nabla u)), \nabla \xi \rangle \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1} D_i^{-h}(\xi^2 D_i^h u)}{|y|^t}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Using the following relation for $f \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$

$$D_i^h f(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\int_0^1 f(x + t h e_i) dt \right),$$

we have

$$D_i^h(A(x, \nabla u)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \int_0^1 |A(x + the_i, \nabla u(x + the_i))| dt \quad [\text{Using (3.9)}]$$

Say,

$$X = \int_0^1 A(x + the_i, \nabla u(x + the_i)) dt$$

Therefore 2nd term of RHS of (3.14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \int_{\Omega} \xi(D_i^h u) \langle D_i^h \langle A(x, \nabla u(x)) \nabla \xi \rangle \\ & = 2 \langle X, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (D_i^h u \cdot \xi \nabla \xi) \rangle \\ & \leq \frac{2}{R} \int_{\Omega} \xi |X| |D_i^h u_{x_i}| dx + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R}} |D_i^h u| |X| dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Therefore using the above relations, we have from (3.14)

$$\begin{aligned} C \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 |D_i^h(\nabla u(x))|^2 Y^{p-2} dx & \leq C_2 \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 (1 + |\nabla u(x)|)^{p-1} |D_i^h \nabla u(x)| \\ & + \frac{2}{R} \int_{\Omega} \xi |X| |D_i^h u_{x_i}| dx + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R}} |D_i^h u| |X| dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1} D_i^{-h} (\xi^2 D_i^h u)}{|y|^t}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Now 1st term of RHS of (3.16) can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 (1 + |\nabla u(x)|)^{p-1} |D_i^h \nabla u(x)| & \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 |D_i^h \nabla u(x)|^p dx \\ & + \frac{1}{C(\varepsilon)} \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 (1 + |\nabla u(x)|)^p dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 |D_i^h \nabla u(x)|^p dx + M(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{R} \xi |X| |D_i^h u_{x_i}| & \leq \frac{2}{R} \xi Y^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D_i^h(\nabla u)| Y^{\frac{2-p}{2}} |X| \\ & \leq \varepsilon \xi^2 Y^{p-2} |D_i^h \nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon R^2} Y^{2-p} |X|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have from (3.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
(C - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 |D_i^h \nabla u|^2 Y^{p-2} dx &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon R^2} \int_{B_{2R}} Y^{2-p} |X|^2 + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R}} |D_i^h u| |X| dx \\
&+ M(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 |D_i^h \nabla u(x)|^p \\
&- \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1} D_i^{-h} (\xi^2 D_i^h u)}{|y|^t}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Now using following there inequalities (which follows by $ab \leq a^p + b^{p'}$, where $p > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$):

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_i^h \nabla u|^p &\leq Y^{p-2} |D_i^h \nabla u|^2 + Y^p \\
Y^{2-p} |X|^2 &\leq Y^p + |X|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\
|D_i^h u| |X| &\leq |D_i^h u|^p + |X|^{\frac{p}{p-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

we get from (3.17)

$$\begin{aligned}
(C - 2\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 |D_i^h \nabla u|^p dx &\leq C_1 \int_{B_{2R}} Y^p dx + C_2 \int_{B_{2R}} X^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \\
&+ C_3 \int_{B_{2R}} |D_i^h u|^p dx + M(\varepsilon) \\
&- \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1} D_i^{-h} (\xi^2 D_i^h u)}{|y|^t}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where the constants depend on R . Again we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{2R}} Y^p &\leq C_4 R^N + C_5 \int_{B_{3R}} |\nabla u|^p \\
\int_{B_{2R}} X^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx &= \int_{B_{2R}} \left(\int_0^1 |A(x + t h e_i, \nabla u(x + t h e_i))| dt \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \\
&\leq \int_{B_{2R}} \int_0^1 |A(x + t h e_i, \nabla u(x + t h e_i))|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dt dx \\
&\leq C_1 \int_{B_{2R}} \int_0^1 |\nabla u(x + t h e_i)|^p dt dx \quad [\text{using (3.9)}] \\
&\leq C_1 \int_{B_{3R}} |\nabla u|^p dx
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

for h to be small enough and $\int_{B_{2R}} |D_i^h u|^p dx \leq \int_{B_{3R}} |\nabla u|^p dx$.

Now the last integral in (3.18) can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1} D_i^{-h} (\xi^2 D_i^h u)}{|y|^t} \\
&\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |D_i^{-h} (\xi^2 D_i^h u)|^p + C(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{u^{p^*(s)-1}}{|y|^t} \right)^{p'} \quad [\text{Using Young's Inequality}]
\end{aligned}$$

where $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ and $C(\varepsilon) = \frac{(\varepsilon p)^{-\frac{p'}{p}}}{p'}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{The 2nd term on the RHS} &\leq M \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|y|^{p't}} \quad [\text{Since } u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)] \\ &\leq K \quad [\text{Since } p't < k], \end{aligned}$$

where M, K are suitable constants depending on ε .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{1st term on the RHS} &\leq \varepsilon \int_{B_{3R}} |\nabla(\xi^2 D_i^h u)|^p \\ &\leq C_1 \varepsilon \left[\int_{B_{3R}} \xi^2 |D_i^h(\nabla u)|^p + \int_{B_{3R}} |D_i^h u|^p \right] \\ &\leq C_1 \varepsilon \left[\int_{B_{3R}} \xi^2 |D_i^h(\nabla u)|^p + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \right], \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 is a constant depending on R . Therefore choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that $2\varepsilon + C_1\varepsilon < C$ we have from (3.18)

$$\int_{B_R} |D_i^h \nabla u|^p \leq C(R, \varepsilon, N, p) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N$$

and for all sufficiently small $|h| \neq 0$. Therefore we have $D_i^h u$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \Rightarrow$ converges weakly and pointwise up to a subsequence to u_{x_i} . Therefore by weak lower semicontinuity we have $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{x_i}|^p \leq M$ and this proves that $u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\Omega)$.

Now we come to the proof of Theorem 3.4. We note that if $A(x, \zeta) = |\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta$ then all the conditions in (3.8)-(3.10) are satisfied. Hence the solution u of (1.1), which is also in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ (see first paragraph of proof of Theorem 3.3), has local $W^{2,p}$ regularity in the interior of Ω . For the boundary points we first flatten the boundary locally around $x_0 \in \partial\Omega \setminus \{y = 0\}$ using a C^2 diffeomorphism η as in proof of Theorem 3.3. If $v = u \circ \eta^{-1}$ then v satisfies

$$-\operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla v) = g(x, v(x)) \quad \text{in } B_1^+(0) \quad (3.20)$$

where $A(x, \zeta) = |\zeta B(x)|^{p-2} \zeta B(x) B^T(x) |\det B(x)|^{-1}$, $B(x) = \nabla \eta(x)$, $g \in L^{\infty}(B_1^+(0))$ and B^T denotes the transpose of B as we have seen in Theorem 3.3. This operator satisfies all the assumptions (3.8)-(3.10) [see Appendix]. Using Lieberman [15] we have $u \in C^1(\partial\Omega \setminus \{y = 0\})$ and so we can apply reflection method to the above equation. If $x_0 \in \partial\Omega \cap \{y = 0\}$ we can

apply the reflection method to the equation (3.20) (using the same idea as in Theorem 2.3 of [3]), where reflection is given in the last coordinate of x . Therefore if we define $\bar{v}$ as in proof of Theorem 3.3, we note that $\bar{v}$ satisfies an equation of type

$$-\operatorname{div} \bar{A}(x, \nabla \bar{v}) = \frac{\bar{v}^{p^*(s)-1} |\det B(x)^{-1}|}{|y|^t} \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega},$$

where $\bar{A}$ satisfies all the assumptions (3.8)-(3.10). Therefore we have $\bar{v} \in W^{2,p}(\Omega')$, $\eta(x_0) \in \Omega' \Subset \tilde{\Omega}$, which gives in particular boundary $W^{2,p}$ regularity near x_0 . This completes the proof. $\square$

4 Non-existence of non trivial solutions in critical case

Theorem 4.1. *There does not exist any non trivial solution of the Equation (1.1) in a star-shaped bounded domain w.r.t. 0 for $t = s$ and $s < k(\frac{p-1}{p})$ if $\partial\Omega$ is orthogonal to the singular set.*

Proof. We will prove the theorem by contradiction. Therefore let us suppose Ω be a star shaped bounded domain and equation (1.1) has a non trivial solution u . Hence by Theorem 3.4, $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \subseteq [1, \infty)$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ and $\varphi \equiv 1$ in $[2, \infty)$. Define $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varphi(\frac{|y|}{\varepsilon})$. Therefore $\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x) = (\frac{y}{\varepsilon|y|} \varphi'(\frac{|y|}{\varepsilon}), 0)$. Applying $\langle x, \nabla u \rangle \varphi_\varepsilon \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as a test function we have from (1.1)

$$-\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \langle x, \nabla u \rangle \varphi_\varepsilon = \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1}}{|y|^s} \langle x, \nabla u \rangle \varphi_\varepsilon. \quad (4.21)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RHS of (4.21)} &= \frac{1}{p^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla |u|^{p^*(s)} \cdot x \rangle \frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}}{|y|^s} \\
&= -\frac{1}{p^*(s)} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{x_i}{|y|^s} \right) \varphi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{p^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^s} \langle x \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \rangle \\
&\quad [\text{Since } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ and } \frac{x}{|y|^s} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega \setminus \{|y| \leq \varepsilon\})] \\
&= -\frac{N-p}{p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^s} \varphi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{p^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^s} \langle x \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \rangle \\
&= -\frac{N-p}{p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^s} \varphi_{\varepsilon} \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

LHS of (4.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla (\langle x, \nabla u \rangle \varphi_{\varepsilon}) - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \langle x, \nabla u \rangle \varphi_{\varepsilon} ds \\
&\quad [\text{Since } u \text{ is in } W^{2,p}(\Omega) \text{ and } \nu \text{ is the normal vector to } \partial\Omega] \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \varphi_{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla |\nabla u|^2 \cdot x \rangle \varphi_{\varepsilon} + \\
&\quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle x, \nabla u \rangle \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \rangle - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 (x \cdot \nu) \varphi_{\varepsilon} ds \\
&\quad [\text{Applying the fact } x \cdot \nabla u = \langle x, \nu \rangle \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \text{ and } u \in C^1(\partial\Omega \setminus \{y=0\})]
\end{aligned}$$

Now we note that the last term on the RHS tends to $\int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \langle x, \nu \rangle ds$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Similarly 1st term on the RHS $\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |u|^p$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. So we need to estimate the 2nd and 3rd terms of the RHS.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{3rd term} &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle x, \nabla u \rangle \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \rangle \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega \cap \{\varepsilon \leq |y| \leq 2\varepsilon\}} |\nabla u|^{p-2} |x \cdot \nabla u| \frac{|\nabla u| |\varphi'|}{\varepsilon} \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega \cap \{\varepsilon \leq |y| \leq 2\varepsilon\}} |\nabla u|^{p-1} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\varepsilon} \\
&\leq C_1 \int_{\Omega \cap \{\varepsilon \leq |y| \leq 2\varepsilon\}} |\nabla u|^{p-1} \frac{|\nabla u|}{|y|} \\
&\leq C_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|y|^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega \cap \{\varepsilon \leq |y| \leq 2\varepsilon\}} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. To estimate the 2nd term, first we notice that

$$\frac{1}{p} \langle x, \nabla (|\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle x, \nabla (|\nabla u|^2) \rangle |\nabla u|^{p-2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle x, \nabla(|\nabla u|^2) \rangle |\nabla u|^{p-2} \varphi_{\varepsilon} \\
&= -\frac{N}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \varphi_{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi_{\varepsilon} |\nabla u|^p \langle x, \nu \rangle ds - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \langle x, \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \rangle |\nabla u|^p \\
&\rightarrow -\frac{N}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \langle x, \nu \rangle ds \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have from (4.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{N-p}{p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p^*(s)}}{|y|^s} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p - \frac{N}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right) \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle x, \nu \rangle |\nabla u|^p ds \\
&\Rightarrow \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle x, \nu \rangle |\nabla u|^p ds = 0 \quad [\text{since } u \text{ is a solution of (1.1)}] \\
&\Rightarrow \nabla u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \partial\Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Since u is C^1 on $\partial\Omega \setminus \{y = 0\}$ we have $\nabla u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \setminus \{y = 0\}$. But this a contradiction to Hopf's lemma (see Theorem 5.2) since $s < k(\frac{p-1}{p}) < \frac{k}{2}$.

□

5 Cylindrical symmetry and the set of degeneracy

In this section we study some properties of the set of degeneracy of solutions of (1.1) by the method of symmetry under the condition $t < \frac{k(p-1)}{Np}$. We have already seen that there exists a strict positive solution u of (1.1) for $t < \frac{k(p-1)}{Np}$ and Ω connected. Therefore in this section we assume $t < \frac{k(p-1)}{Np}$ and Ω connected.

Intuitively, we can expect that u could be symmetric in the variable y only about the point $0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Without loss of generality, we assume that Ω is a smooth bounded domain which is cylindrically symmetric about 0. Let $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ be a solution of (1.1) which is strictly positive in Ω . Before we state the results, let us define some notations. Let ν be a direction in $\mathbb{R}^N$, i.e. $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $|\nu| = 1$. For any real number λ we define

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\lambda}^{\nu} &\stackrel{def}{=} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x \cdot \nu = \lambda\} \\
\Omega_{\lambda}^{\nu} &\stackrel{def}{=} \{x \in \Omega : x \cdot \nu < \lambda\} \\
x_{\lambda}^{\nu} &\stackrel{def}{=} R_{\lambda}^{\nu}(x) = x + 2(\lambda - x \cdot \nu)\nu, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $R_\lambda^\nu(x)$ is the reflection of x through the hyperplane T_λ^ν . Define

$$a(\nu) \stackrel{def}{=} \inf_{x \in \Omega} x \cdot \nu.$$

If $\lambda > a(\nu)$ then Ω_λ^ν is non-empty and so we set

$$(\Omega_\lambda^\nu)' \stackrel{def}{=} R_\lambda^\nu(\Omega_\lambda^\nu).$$

Ω being smooth we observe that $(\Omega_\lambda^\nu)'$ is contained in Ω for $\lambda - a(\nu) > 0$ small and will remain in it, at least until one of the following holds:

- (i) $(\Omega_\lambda^\nu)'$ becomes internally tangent to $\partial\Omega$ at some point not on T_λ^ν .
- (ii) T_λ^ν is orthogonal to $\partial\Omega$ at some point.

Let $\Lambda_1(\nu)$ be the set of those $\lambda > a(\nu)$ such that for each $\mu \in (a(\nu), \lambda)$ none of the conditions (i) and (ii) holds and define

$$\lambda_1(\nu) \stackrel{def}{=} \sup \Lambda_1(\nu).$$

Define

$$\Lambda_2(\nu) \stackrel{def}{=} \{\lambda > a(\nu) : (\Omega_\mu^\nu)' \subset \Omega \text{ for any } \mu \in (a(\nu), \lambda]\}$$

and if $\Lambda_2(\nu) \neq \emptyset$ then define

$$\lambda_2(\nu) \stackrel{def}{=} \sup \Lambda_2(\nu).$$

Since Ω is smooth we have $\emptyset \neq \Lambda_1(\nu) \subset \Lambda_2(\nu)$.

For $a(\nu) < \lambda \leq \lambda_2(\nu)$ we define

$$u_\nu^\lambda(x) \stackrel{def}{=} u(x_\nu^\lambda) \text{ for } x \in \Omega_\lambda^\nu.$$

Since $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ we can also define

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\lambda^\nu &\stackrel{def}{=} Z_\lambda^\nu(u) = \{x \in \Omega_\lambda^\nu : Du(x) = Du_\lambda^\nu(x) = 0\} \\ Z &\stackrel{def}{=} Z(u) = \{x \in \Omega : Du(x) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we define

$$\Lambda_0(\nu) \stackrel{def}{=} \{\lambda \in (a(\nu), \lambda_2(\nu)] : u \leq u_\mu^\nu \text{ in } \Omega_\mu^\nu \text{ for any } \mu \in (a(\nu), \lambda]\}.$$

If $\Lambda_0(\nu) \neq \emptyset$ we set

$$\lambda_0(\nu) = \sup \Lambda_0(\nu).$$

Obviously we have $\lambda_0(\nu) \leq \lambda_2(\nu)$.

The main Theorem of this section is the following:

Theorem 5.1. *Let Ω be as above with $\partial\Omega$ orthogonal to the singular set and $\lambda_1(\nu) = \lambda_2(\nu) = 0$ for all $\nu = (\nu_1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$ with $|\nu_1| = 1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^{k-1}(Z) = 0 \Rightarrow u \text{ is symmetric in variable } y \text{ about } 0 \text{ and } Z \subset \{y = 0\}.$$

Remark 5.1. *It is easy to see that if u is symmetric in variable y about $0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\mathcal{H}^{k-1}(Z) = 0$ then $Z \subset \{y = 0\}$. Therefore it is enough to prove that u is symmetric in variable y about $0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ if $\mathcal{H}^{k-1}(Z) = 0$.*

To prove the above theorem we crucially follow the method in [8] with suitable modification for our set up. Therefore we shall not provide a detailed proof for the above theorem whereas we shall provide the steps involved to prove result by providing theorems analogous those in [8]. Before we proceed to prove the symmetry results, let us recall some theorems (valid for general open bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$) which we will use for our results.

Theorem 5.2. *(Strong Maximum Principle and Hopf's Lemma:) Let $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ be such that $\Delta_p u \in L_{loc}^2(\Omega)$, $u \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω , $\Delta_p u \leq 0$ a.e. in Ω . Then if u does not vanish identically on Ω it is positive everywhere in Ω . Moreover, if $u \in C^1(\Omega \cup \{x_0\})$ for some $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ that satisfies an interior sphere condition and $u(x_0) = 0$ then*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} > 0,$$

where n is an interior normal at x_0 .

This theorem is a special case of Theorem 5 in [19].

Theorem 5.3. *(Harnack type comparison inequality:) Suppose u, v satisfies*

$$-\Delta_p u \leq -\Delta_p v \quad \text{and} \quad u \leq v \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (5.22)$$

where $u, v \in W_{loc}^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. Suppose $\overline{B_{5\delta}(x_0)} \subset \Omega$ and $\inf_{B_{5\delta}(x_0)} (|Du| + |Dv|) > 0$.

Then for any positive $s < \frac{N}{N-2}$ we have

$$\|v - u\|_{L^s(B_{2\delta}(x_0))} \leq c\delta^{\frac{N}{s}} \inf_{B_{\delta}(x_0)} (v - u)$$

where c is constant depending on N, p, s, δ and m and M , where

$$m = \inf_{B_{5\delta}(x_0)} (|Du| + |Dv|), \quad M = \sup_{B_{5\delta}(x_0)} (|Du| + |Dv|).$$

It's a particular case of Theorem 1.3 in [7]. As a consequence of this theorem one can have the following Strong Comparison Principle whose proof can be found in [7]

Theorem 5.4. (*Strong Comparison Principle:*) Define $Z_u^v = \{x \in \Omega : Du(x) = Dv(x) = 0\}$. Let $u, v \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ be such that it satisfy (5.22) and there exists $x_0 \in \Omega \setminus Z_u^v$ with $u(x_0) = v(x_0)$, then $u \equiv v$ in the connected component of $\Omega \setminus Z_u^v$ containing x_0 .

Now let us start with a technical lemma.

Lemma 5.5. Let $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\nu = (\nu_1, 0)$ where $\nu_1 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $|\nu_1| = 1$. If $\lambda \leq 0$ and $x_\lambda^\nu = (y_\lambda^\nu, z_\lambda^\nu)$ then $|y| \geq |y_\lambda^\nu|$ on Ω_λ^ν .

Proof. We note that $y_\lambda^\nu = y + 2(\lambda - y \cdot \nu_1)\nu_1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |y_\lambda^\nu|^2 - |y|^2 &= 4(\lambda - y \cdot \nu_1)\nu_1 \cdot y + 4(\lambda - y \cdot \nu_1)^2 \\ &= 4(\lambda - y \cdot \nu_1)[\nu_1 \cdot y + \lambda - y \cdot \nu_1] \\ &= 4(\lambda - x \cdot \nu)\lambda \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Suppose Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $\partial\Omega$ is orthogonal to the singular set. We know that for $\lambda \leq \lambda_2(\nu)$, $u \in C^1(\Omega_\lambda^\nu)$ weakly solves

$$-\Delta_p u = \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1}}{|y|^t} \quad \text{in } \Omega_\lambda^\nu. \quad (5.23)$$

Clearly $u_\lambda^\nu \in C^1(\Omega_\lambda^\nu)$ weakly solves

$$-\Delta_p u_\lambda^\nu = \frac{u_\lambda^{\nu p^*(s)-1}}{|y_\lambda^\nu|^t} \quad \text{in } \Omega_\lambda^\nu. \quad (5.24)$$

where ν is as in Lemma 5.5. For any set $A \subset \Omega_\lambda^\nu$ we define

$$M_A = M_A(u, u_\lambda^\nu) = \sup_A (|Du| + |Du_\lambda^\nu|)$$

and we denote by $|A|$ its Lebesgue measure. Next we prove the following Weak Comparison Principle which is in the heart of the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.6. (*Weak Comparison Principle:*) Suppose that $1 < p < 2$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, 0)$, then for any $\lambda \leq 0$ there exists $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$, depending on $p, |\Omega|, M_\infty (M_\infty = 2 \sup_\Omega |Du|)$ and the L^∞ norm of u such that: if an open set $\Omega' \subset \Omega'_\lambda$ satisfies $\Omega' = A_1 \cup A_2, |A_1 \cap A_2| = 0, |A_1| < \delta_1, M_{A_2} < \delta_2$ then $u \leq u'_\lambda$ on $\partial\Omega'$ implies $u \leq u'_\lambda$ in Ω' .

Proof. Let $u \leq u'_\lambda$ on $\partial\Omega'$. Therefore $(u'_\lambda - u)^- = 0$ on $\partial\Omega'$ and hence $(u'_\lambda - u)^- \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega')$. Define

$$A(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{u'^{\nu p^*(s)-1}_\lambda - u^{p^*(s)-1}}{u'_\lambda - u}.$$

Using $(u'_\lambda - u)^-$ as a test function we have from (5.23) and (5.24)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega'} (|\nabla u'_\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u'_\lambda - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla (u'_\lambda - u)^- \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega'} A(x) \frac{(u'_\lambda - u)(u'_\lambda - u)^-}{|y|^t}, \quad [\text{using Lemma 5.5}] \end{aligned}$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega' \cap \{u'_\lambda \leq u\}} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla u'_\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u'_\lambda) \cdot \nabla (u'_\lambda - u)^- \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega' \cap \{u'_\lambda \leq u\}} A(x) \frac{|(u'_\lambda - u)^-|^2}{|y|^t}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\int_{\Omega' \cap \{u'_\lambda \leq u\}} (|\nabla u'_\lambda| + |\nabla u|)^{p-2} |\nabla (u'_\lambda - u)^-|^2 \leq M \int_{\Omega' \cap \{u'_\lambda \leq u\}} \frac{|(u'_\lambda - u)^-|^2}{|y|^t} \quad (5.25)$$

where M denotes the upper bound of $A(\cdot)$ and so depends on $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$.

Since $1 < p < 2$ we have from (5.25)

$$\begin{aligned} & M_\infty^{p-2} \int_{A_1 \cap \{u'_\lambda \leq u\}} |\nabla (u'_\lambda - u)|^2 + M_{A_2}^{p-2} \int_{A_2 \cap \{u'_\lambda \leq u\}} |\nabla (u'_\lambda - u)|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{M}{\varepsilon^t} \int_{\Omega' \cap \{u'_\lambda \leq u\}} |(u'_\lambda - u)^-|^2 + \int_{\Omega' \cap \{u'_\lambda \leq u\} \cap \{|y| < \varepsilon\}} \frac{|(u'_\lambda - u)^-|^2 |y|^{2-t}}{|y|^2} \quad (5.26) \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ we have $(u'_\lambda - u)^- \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega')$, therefore following Lemma

2.2 in [7] and Hardy Sobolev Inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RHS of (5.26)} &\leq \frac{2M}{\varepsilon^t} \frac{|\Omega|^{1/N}}{|\sigma_N|^{2/N}} \left[|A_1|^{1/N} |\nabla(u_\lambda^\nu - u)|_{L^2(A_1 \cap \{u_\lambda^\nu \leq u\})}^2 + \right. \\
&\quad \left. |\Omega|^{1/N} |\nabla(u_\lambda^\nu - u)|_{L^2(A_2 \cap \{u_\lambda^\nu \leq u\})}^2 \right] \\
&\quad + C\varepsilon^{2-t} \int_{A_1 \cap \{u_\lambda^\nu \leq u\}} |\nabla(u_\lambda^\nu - u)|^2 \\
&\quad + C\varepsilon^{2-t} \int_{A_2 \cap \{u_\lambda^\nu \leq u\}} |\nabla(u_\lambda^\nu - u)|^2
\end{aligned}$$

where σ_N denotes the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Therefore from (5.26) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[M_\infty^{p-2} - \frac{2M|\Omega|^{1/N}|A_1|^{1/N}}{\varepsilon^t|\sigma_N|^{2/N}} - C\varepsilon^{2-t} \right] \int_{A_1 \cap \{u_\lambda^\nu \leq u\}} |\nabla(u_\lambda^\nu - u)|^2 \\
&+ \left[M_{A_2}^{p-2} - \frac{2M|\Omega|^{2/N}}{\varepsilon^t|\sigma_N|^{2/N}} - C\varepsilon^{2-t} \right] \int_{A_2 \cap \{u_\lambda^\nu \leq u\}} |\nabla(u_\lambda^\nu - u)|^2 \leq 0. \quad (5.27)
\end{aligned}$$

Now choosing $C\varepsilon^{2-t} = \frac{M_\infty^{p-2}}{2}$, the term in the first bracket of (5.27) becomes

$$\frac{M_\infty^{p-2}}{2} - \frac{2M|\Omega|^{1/N}|A_1|^{1/N}}{C_1|\sigma_N|^{2/N}},$$

with $C_1 = \varepsilon^t = (\frac{M_\infty^{p-2}}{2C})^{\frac{t}{2-t}}$ and hence for $|A_1| \leq \delta_1$, $\delta_1 > 0$ to be sufficiently small, the above quantity is positive. For the same choice of ε the term in the second bracket of (5.27) becomes

$$\frac{1}{M_{A_2}^{2-p}} - \left(\frac{2M|\Omega|^{2/N}}{C_1|\sigma_N|^{2/N}} + \frac{M_\infty^{p-2}}{2} \right)$$

and therefore we can choose $\delta_2 > 0$ such that if $M_{A_2} < \delta_2$ then above quantity is positive. Therefore we have

$$\int_{A_i \cap \{u_\lambda^\nu \leq u\}} |\nabla(u_\lambda^\nu - u)|^2 = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

This implies

$$\|(u_\lambda^\nu - u)^-\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega')} = 0$$

and hence $u \leq u_\lambda^\nu$ in Ω' . This completes the proof. $\square$

Now we are in the position to prove Theorem 5.1. Since the main idea of the proof comes from [8] with some suitable modification for our set up, we

would just provide a sketch for the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 5.1:

First we prove results analogous to Theorem 3.1 in [8] and then complete the proof of Theorem 5.1 above along the lines at page 700–705 in [8]. To proceed first we'll show that $\lambda_0(\nu) = \lambda_2(\nu)$ for all $\nu = (\nu_1, 0)$ with $|\nu_1| = 1$ which will prove $u \leq u_{\lambda_2(\nu)}^\nu$ in $\Omega_{\lambda_2(\nu)}^\nu$. To get other side inequality observe that $v(x) \stackrel{def}{=} u(x_{\lambda_2(\nu)}^\nu)$ satisfies an equation of same type in Ω .

Step 1: Let $\nu = (\nu_1, 0)$ and $\lambda_2(\nu) = 0$. Since $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $u = u_\lambda^\nu$ on $\Omega \cap T_\lambda^\nu$, applying Theorem 5.6 we have that $u \leq u_\lambda^\nu$ in Ω_λ^ν for $\lambda - a(\nu) > 0$ small. Therefore $\Lambda_0(\nu) \neq \emptyset$. At this point we observe that $u \leq u_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu$ in $\Omega_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu$, by continuity. Therefore

$$-\Delta_p u_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu = \frac{u_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^{\nu^{p^*(s)-1}}}{|(y)_{\lambda_0(\nu)}|^t} \geq \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1}}{|y|^t} = -\Delta_p u \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu.$$

[Here we have used Lemma 5.5]. So we can apply Theorem 5.4. Then following the same arguments as in Step 2 of Theorem 3.1 in [8] we have, if $\lambda_0(\nu) < \lambda_2(\nu)$ then there exists at least one connected component C^ν of $\Omega_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu \setminus Z_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu$ such that $u = u_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu$ in C^ν . Again it can also be shown that for any λ with $a(\nu) < \lambda < \lambda_0(\nu)$ we have

$$u < u_\lambda^\nu \quad \text{in } \Omega_\lambda^\nu \setminus Z_\lambda^\nu.$$

Step 2. Now we shall prove that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu \setminus Z$$

which will prove the non-increasing property of u . For simplicity we assume $\nu = e_1 = (1, \dots, 0)$. Let $x = (\lambda, \varsigma) \in \Omega_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu \setminus Z$, i.e., $\lambda < \lambda_0(\nu)$ and $Du(x) \neq 0$. Therefore we can choose a ball $B_r(x)$ such that $|Du|, |Du_\lambda^\nu| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ in $B_r(x) \cap \Omega_\lambda^\nu$ for some ε small enough and $B_r(x) \cap \{y = 0\} = \emptyset$. Then by standard results $u \in C^2(B_r(x))$ and the difference $u_\lambda^\nu - u$ satisfies a linear strictly elliptic equation $L(u_\lambda^\nu - u) = 0$ (cf. [16]). On the other hand we have $u_\lambda^\nu - u > 0$ in $B_r(x) \cap \Omega_\lambda^\nu$ while $u_\lambda^\nu = u$ on T_λ^ν . Hence, by Hopf's lemma (cf. [16]) we get $0 > \frac{\partial(u_\lambda^\nu - u)}{\partial x_1}(x) = -2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}(x)$ i.e., $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} > 0$.

Step 3. Till now we have not used the fact that $\mathcal{H}^{k-1}(Z) = 0$. To complete

the proof it is enough to prove that $\lambda_0(\nu) = \lambda_2(\nu)$. We shall prove this by contradiction. Therefore assume $\lambda_0(\nu) < \lambda_2(\nu)$. Let

$$\bar{I}_\delta(\nu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^N : \mu = (\mu_1, 0), \mu_1 \in \mathbb{R}^k, |\mu_1| = 1, |\mu_1 - \nu_1| < \delta\}.$$

Therefore $\bar{I}_\delta(\nu)$ is an $(k-1)$ -dimensional open set embedded in the $(N-1)$ -dimensional sphere. Using Theorem 5.6 and arguments at page 702–703 in [8] we can show that $\lambda_0(\cdot)$ is continuous on $\bar{I}_\delta(\nu)$. Therefore following the arguments similar to those at page 703–705 in [8] we can have a set $Z_1 \subset Z$ (in fact, on Z_1 , $u = \text{const.}$, $Du = 0$) such that $\mathcal{H}^{k-1}(Z_1) > 0$. This is contradicting to our hypothesis. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. $\square$

Note that we can also prove the weak maximum principle (Theorem 5.6) for the directions of type $\nu = (0, \nu_2)$ with $\nu_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$ with $|\nu_2| = 1$. Therefore following the same arguments as above we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.7. *Let Ω be as above with $\partial\Omega$ orthogonal to the singular set and $\lambda_1(\nu) = \lambda_2(\nu) = 0$ for all $\nu = (0, \nu_2) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$ with $|\nu_2| = 1$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{N-k-1}(Z) = 0 \Rightarrow u$ is symmetric in variable z about 0 and $Z \subset \{z = 0\}$.*

Here if $N - k > 1$ it goes back to the previous argument but if $N - k = 1$ by definition of Hausdorff measure we can say that $Z = \emptyset$. Now from Step 1 above we can conclude $u = u_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu$ in $\Omega_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu$ and by continuity $u = u_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu$. Now to show $\lambda_0(\nu) = \lambda_2(\nu)$ let us observe that if $\lambda_0(\nu) < \lambda_2(\nu)$ it contradicts the fact $u = u_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{\lambda_0(\nu)}^\nu \cap \partial\Omega$ since we have $u > 0$ in Ω .

As an immediate consequence of the above theorems we have

Corollary 5.8. *Let Ω be as above with $\partial\Omega$ orthogonal to the singular set and $\ell = \min\{k-1, N-k-1\}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^\ell(Z) = 0 \Rightarrow u \text{ is cylindrically symmetric about 0 and } Z = \{0\}.$$

6 Appendix

Let x_0 be a point on $\partial\Omega$. Since Ω is a smooth bounded domain, we can find a C^2 diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. after re-labeling and re-orienting

the coordinate axes (if necessary) we have

$$\Omega_1 = \Omega \cap B(x_0, r) = \{x \in B(x_0, r) : x_n > f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})\}$$

Now let us define, $\eta : \Omega_1 \rightarrow B_1^+$ be corresponding deffiomorphism where $B_1^+ = \eta(\Omega_1)$. And $\varphi(x) = \eta^{-1}(x)$. If u satisfies the following equation

$$-div|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u = g(x, u)$$

in weak sense, then after the change of variable defined above with $v(x) = u(\varphi(x))$ where $v : B_1^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the above equation changes to

$$A(x, \nabla v) = |\nabla v \cdot B(x)|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot B(x) B(x)^T |\det B(x)|^{-1}$$

where $B(x) = D\eta(x)$. Therefore denoting ∇v as ξ we get

$$A(x, \xi) = |\xi \cdot B(x)|^{p-2} \xi \cdot B(x) B(x)^T |\det B(x)|^{-1}$$

We claim that $A(x, \xi)$ satisfies (3.8)-(3.10).

Proof of (3.8): We can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & (A(x, \xi_1) - A(x, \xi_2))(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \\ & \geq (|\xi_1 B(x)|^{p-2} \xi_1 B(x) - |\xi_2 B(x)|^{p-2} \xi_2 B(x))(\xi_1 B(x) - \xi_2 B(x)) |\det B(x)|^{-1} \\ & \geq C_1 (1 + |\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\xi_1 B(x) - \xi_2 B(x)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_1 B(x) - \xi_2 B(x)|^2 &= \langle \xi_1 \cdot B(x) B(x)^T - \xi_2 \cdot B(x) B(x)^T, \xi_1 - \xi_2 \rangle \\ &\geq C_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $B(x)B(x)^T$ is a positive definite matrix. Hence (3.8) follows.

Proof of (3.9) is obvious.

Proof of (3.10):

$$\begin{aligned} A_i(x, \xi) - A_i(y, \xi) &= |\xi B(x)|^{p-2} [(\xi B(x) B(x)^T)_i - (\xi B(y) B(y)^T)_i] |\det B(x)|^{-1} \\ &+ (\xi B(y) B(y)^T)_i |\xi B(x)|^{p-2} |\det B(x)|^{-1} \\ &- (\xi B(y) B(y)^T)_i |\xi B(y)|^{p-2} |\det B(y)|^{-1} \\ &\leq C|x - y| |\xi|^{p-1} + C|\xi| [|\xi B(x)|^{p-2} - |\xi B(y)|^{p-2}] |\det B(x)|^{-1} \\ &+ (\xi B(y) B(y)^T)_i |\det B(x)|^{-1} |\xi B(y)|^{p-2} \\ &- (\xi B(y) B(y)^T)_i |\det B(y)|^{-1} |\xi B(y)|^{p-2} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|\xi B(x)|^{p-2} - |\xi B(y)|^{p-2} \leq C|\xi|^{p-2}|x - y|$$

and η is a C^2 function in a bounded domain implies $|\det B(y)^{-1}|$ is a Lipschitz function. Therefore result follows. $\square$

Acknowledgement: This paper is a part of first author's doctoral dissertation. The first author would like to thank her adviser K. Sandeep for various useful discussion.

References

- [1] Antonio Ambrosetti; Paul H. Rabinowitz; *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Functional Analysis **14**, (1973), 349–381.
- [2] Marino Badiale; Gabriella Tarantello; *A Sobolev-Hardy inequality with applications to a nonlinear elliptic equation arising in astrophysics*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **163**, no. 4, (2002), 259–293.
- [3] M. Bhakta; K. Sandeep; *Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya type equations in bounded domains*, J. Differential Equations **247**, no. 1, (2009), 119–139.
- [4] Lucio Boccardo; Franois Murat; *Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **19**, no. 6, (1992), 581–597.
- [5] Daomin Cao; Yan Yan Li; *Results on positive solutions of elliptic equations with a critical Hardy-Sobolev operator*, Methods and Application of Analysis **15**, no. 1, (2008), 81–95.
- [6] Gianni Mancini; K. Sandeep; *On a semilinear elliptic equation in $\mathbb{H}^n$* , Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **7**, no. 4, (2008), 635–671.
- [7] Lucio Damascelli; *Comparison theorems for some quasilinear degenerate elliptic operators and applications to symmetry and monotonicity results*, Ann. Inst. Henri. Poincaré, Vol. **15**, no. 4, (1998), 493–516.

- [8] Lucio Damascelli; Filomena Pacella; *Monotonicity and symmetry of solutions of p -Laplace equations, $1 < p < 2$, via the moving plane method*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **26** , no. 4, (1998), 689–707.
- [9] E. Dibenedetto; *$C^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **7**, no. 8, (1983), 827–850.
- [10] I. Fabbri; G. Mancini; K. Sandeep; *Classification of solutions of a critical Hardy-Sobolev operator*, J. Differential Equations **224** , no. 2, (2006), 258–276.
- [11] S. Filippas; V. Maz'ya; A. Tertikas; *Critical Hardy-Sobolev inequalities*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **87**, no. 1, (2007), 37–56.
- [12] M. Gazzini; R. Musina; *On the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities : Symmetry and breaking symmetry of extremal functions*, Commun. Contemp. Math. (in press).
- [13] B. Gidas; Wei Ming Ni; L. Nirenberg ; *Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68**, no. 3, (1979), 209–243.
- [14] P. Tolksdorf; *On the Dirichlet problem for quasilinear equations in domain with canonical boundary points*, Commun. Partial. Diff. Eqns. **8**, (1983), 773–817 .
- [15] Gary M. Lieberman; *Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **12** , no. 11, (1988), 1203–1219.
- [16] James Serrin; *A symmetry problem in potential theory*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **43**, (1971), 304–318.
- [17] James Serrin; Patrizia Pucci; *The Maximum Principle*, Prog. Nonlin. Diff. Eqn. Appl. ,Vol-73, Birkhauser.
- [18] Dimiter Vassilev; *L^p estimates and asymptotic behavior for finite energy solutions of extremals to Hardy-Sobolev inequalities*, preprint arXiv: math/06016622 (June 2006).
- [19] J. L. Vázquez; *A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations*, Appl. Math. Optim. **12**, no. 3, (1984), 191–202.